GYANBODH
An International Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal

ISSN:3048-9792
Volume: 3
Issue: 2
Special Issue: 1
May: 2026

From Rajadharma to Global Nitishastra: Chanakya Niti as a Framework for Shared Human Destiny

Divyesh Himmatlal Bhatt

Assistant Professor, Anand College of Education, Anand

Email- byheartdivyashbhatt@gamail.com ,8200792022

Abstract

Chanakya - the fourth-century BCE Indian philosopher and statesman - is most often remembered as a theorist of power and political strategy. But read carefully, his framework of Rajadharma and the principles of *Chanakya Niti* reveal something equally important: a coherent philosophy of education in which the formation of knowledgeable, ethical, and civically responsible human beings is understood as the foundational condition of good governance. This paper argues that Chanakya's educational vision, updated and situated within contemporary educational research, offers a compelling framework for what might be called a Global Nitishastra - a shared educational philosophy for shared human destiny. Drawing on research in character education, civic pedagogy, transformative learning, and comparative education, the paper traces how Chanakya's ancient wisdom speaks with surprising directness to the educational challenges of our own time.

Keywords: *Chanakya, Rajadharma, Nitishastra, educational governance, character education, civic education, global citizenship, shared human destiny*

1. Introduction: The Educational Roots of Shared Destiny

We talk a great deal today about shared human destiny. The phrase appears in climate summits, in United Nations declarations, in the speeches of heads of state confronting challenges - pandemic, ecological collapse, technological disruption - that no single nation can solve alone. It names something real and urgent: the recognition that our fates are bound together in ways that make narrow, self-interested governance not just unjust but genuinely self-defeating.

And yet the gap between this recognition and actual governance practice remains vast and frustrating. Nations that agree in principle on the reality of shared destiny routinely act in ways that betray it. Leaders who speak the language of collective responsibility pursue policies that

GYANBODH
An International Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal
ISSN:3048-9792
Volume: 3
Issue: 2
Special Issue: 1
May: 2026

sacrifice long-term common goods for short-term political advantage. Why does this gap persist so stubbornly?

Many explanations are offered- geopolitical competition, institutional weakness, economic inequality. All of these matter. But underneath all of them lies a deficit that is rarely named directly: an educational deficit. Shared human destiny is not a principle that can be proclaimed into existence. It has to be learned. It has to be cultivated in the minds and characters of the people who govern, teach, vote, and build institutions. It requires educational systems that form human beings capable of thinking beyond immediate self-interest, understanding genuine interdependence, and committing themselves to the slow, demanding work of building a world worthy of the name.

This is where Chanakya becomes unexpectedly relevant. Writing more than two thousand years ago for a world of competing kingdoms and fragile alliances, Kautilya arrived at an insight that contemporary educational research has spent decades confirming: that the quality of governance is ultimately inseparable from the quality of education that forms its leaders and citizens. His framework of Rajadharma - the sacred duty of governance - is, at its deepest level, an educational argument. You cannot build just institutions with poorly formed people. You cannot sustain accountable governance without an informed and ethically serious citizenry. Education is not downstream of good governance; it is its upstream condition.

2. Rajadharma as an Educational Framework

2.1 Where the Arthashastra Actually Begins

Most people who know the *Arthashastra* by reputation assume it begins with military strategy or diplomatic cunning. It does not. Book One opens with *vinaya* - the disciplined intellectual and moral formation of the ruler - before it touches economics, law, or warfare. This is not an accident or a conventional gesture. It is Chanakya making his most fundamental argument: that everything else in governance depends on the quality of the person doing the governing. Get the education right, and the rest becomes possible. Get it wrong, and no amount of institutional design will compensate.

GYANBODH
An International Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal
ISSN:3048-9792
Volume: 3
Issue: 2
Special Issue: 1
May: 2026

The curriculum Chanakya prescribes for the ruler has four components: *Anvikshaki* (philosophical reasoning and critical thinking), *Trayi* (ethical learning from tradition), *Varta* (practical knowledge of economics and administration), and *Dandaniti* (the science of governance and law). What distinguishes this from a simple professional training program is its integration. These are not four separate subjects but four interlocking dimensions of a unified human formation. A ruler who understands economics without philosophical grounding will pursue prosperity without justice. One who knows law without ethics will enforce rules without wisdom. Chanakya's insistence that knowledge without character is a form of dangerous incompetence is one of his most enduring and transferable insights (Kangle, 1972).

2.2 Vinaya: Forming the Learner, Not Just Instructing Them

The concept of *vinaya* sits at the heart of Chanakya's educational philosophy. It is a rich word - combining meanings of discipline, intellectual humility, moral seriousness, and openness to growth - and it describes something deeper than the acquisition of knowledge. It describes the formation of a *disposition toward learning*: a settled orientation of honesty, curiosity, and willingness to be changed by what one encounters.

Chanakya is very specific about what vinaya looks like in practice. The learner rises early for study and reflection. He listens more than he speaks. He seeks out perspectives that challenge his own rather than those that confirm it. He cultivates advisors who will tell him difficult truths and builds the emotional maturity to receive those truths without defensiveness or retaliation (Arthashastra 1.5–1.7, trans. Rangarajan, 1992). The emphasis throughout is on the learner's relationship to knowledge - open, active, self-critical - rather than on knowledge as a body of content to be deposited and retrieved.

Carol Dweck's research on growth mindset arrived at strikingly similar conclusions from a very different direction. Students who believe that their abilities are developable through sustained effort - who approach challenges with the dispositional openness that Chanakya calls vinaya - consistently outperform those who treat intelligence as fixed and talent as destiny (Dweck, 2006). Decades of metacognitive research have similarly shown that

GYANBODH
An International Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal
ISSN:3048-9792
Volume: 3
Issue: 2
Special Issue: 1
May: 2026

students who actively monitor and regulate their own learning processes achieve at substantially higher levels than those who approach learning passively (Hattie and Timperley, 2007). The Chanakyan intuition that *how one relates to learning* matters as much as *what one learns* is now among the most robust findings in educational psychology.

2.3 The Teacher-Learner Relationship as the Site of Transformation

Chanakya understood something about learning that educational policy still struggles to take seriously: that the deepest and most consequential learning happens in relationship, not in content delivery. The *guru-shishya* bond - the sustained, demanding, morally serious relationship between teacher and student - is the unit of real educational transformation. Not the curriculum. Not the assessment framework. Not the technology. The relationship. This is not sentiment. It reflects a clear-eyed understanding of how wisdom is actually transmitted. Technical information can be written down and read. But the judgment to know which principles apply in which situations, the courage to act on difficult truths, the ethical seriousness to consider consequences beyond one's own immediate interest- these can only be cultivated through sustained contact with someone who already embodies them. Chanakya's own mentorship of Chandragupta Maurya, transforming a young man of uncertain origins into the founder of the Maurya Empire, was the living proof of his educational philosophy.

Contemporary research has confirmed the intuition with considerable precision. John Hattie's landmark synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses of educational effectiveness found that the quality of the teacher-student relationship is among the most powerful determinants of learning outcomes more powerful than class size, curriculum, and technology (Hattie, 2009). Jack Mezirow's theory of transformative learning- the kind of deep shift in perspective and values that produces genuinely new orientations toward experience and responsibility - identifies sustained, trusting, intellectually challenging relationships as its essential condition (Mezirow, 1991). Chanakya, working without meta-analyses, had reached the same conclusion through philosophical reflection and practical wisdom two millennia earlier.

GYANBODH
An International Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal
ISSN:3048-9792
Volume: 3
Issue: 2
Special Issue: 1
May: 2026

3. Chanakya Niti and Educational Research: Where They Meet

3.1 Character Education and the Formation of Ethical Persons

The most direct convergence between Chanakya's framework and contemporary educational research is in character education. Research from the Jubilee Centre for Character and Virtues at the University of Birmingham, as well as Angela Duckworth's work on self-discipline and perseverance, has established something Chanakya took for granted: that qualities of character- intellectual honesty, ethical commitment, civic seriousness, the capacity for self-discipline are teachable, and that they predict life outcomes, leadership effectiveness, and civic participation in ways that cognitive ability alone does not (Arthur et al., 2015; Duckworth et al., 2007).

Both frameworks are making the same structural argument: that education's deepest goal is not the transmission of skills or information but the formation of persons - human beings capable of using what they know in service of what is right. What Chanakya calls vinaya, researchers call character. What he calls anvikshaki, researchers call critical thinking and epistemic virtue. What he calls Rajadharma - the obligation to act in service of collective welfare - researchers call civic character and ethical agency. The vocabulary is different; the educational vision is deeply convergent.

Duckworth's finding that self-discipline is a stronger predictor of academic and civic performance than IQ is especially resonant with Chanakya's insistence that the ruler who cannot govern himself cannot govern others (Duckworth and Seligman, 2005). This is not a moralistic observation; it is a structural claim about the relationship between self-regulation and effective action. Educational systems that neglect character formation in favor of pure cognitive training are, on both Chanakyan and contemporary evidence, producing graduates who are knowledgeable but not necessarily wise - and in positions of governance, that gap between knowledge and wisdom can be catastrophic.

3.2 Civic Education and the Foundations of Shared Destiny

The idea of shared human destiny is, at its educational core, a civic idea. It requires citizens who can think beyond their immediate interests, engage honestly with perspectives

GYANBODH
An International Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal
ISSN:3048-9792
Volume: 3
Issue: 2
Special Issue: 1
May: 2026

different from their own, understand complex global interdependencies, and commit to long-term collective goods. This is an extraordinarily demanding civic formation, and it does not happen without deliberate, pedagogically serious civic education.

Meira Levinson's work on the civic empowerment gap has shown that students who receive rich, deliberative civic education - who learn to argue, deliberate, question, and take responsibility - participate more in democratic life, trust institutions more appropriately, and make more informed political judgments than those whose civic education is thin or absent (Levinson, 2012). The implications for shared human destiny are direct: the civic capacity to act as a responsible member of a global community is not innate. It is learned, and it must be taught.

What Chanakya's framework adds to this research is a philosophical deepening that civic education often lacks. Most contemporary civic education frameworks are grounded in rights- they teach students what they are entitled to claim. Chanakya's framework is grounded in dharmic duty- it asks what one is obligated to give. A civic education shaped by Rajadharma does not replace the language of rights but complements it with the language of responsibility, asking not just "what can I demand?" but "what do I owe to the shared life that makes my own flourishing possible?" This shift in orientation- from entitlement to obligation, from individual rights to collective duty- is precisely what shared human destiny requires, and precisely what most civic education frameworks fail to cultivate.

3.3 Global Citizenship Education and Shared Human Destiny

UNESCO's framework for Global Citizenship Education identifies three interconnected dimensions: cognitive (understanding global issues and systems), socio-emotional (developing empathy, solidarity, and shared values), and behavioral (acting responsibly at local, national, and global scales) (UNESCO, 2015). This framework is, in many ways, a contemporary institutional articulation of what a Global Nitishastra for education would look like - and Chanakya's principles speak directly to all three of its dimensions.

GYANBODH
An International Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal
ISSN:3048-9792
Volume: 3
Issue: 2
Special Issue: 1
May: 2026

The cognitive dimension maps onto anvikshaki - the rigorous cultivation of critical, reflective, and systems-level thinking. The socio-emotional dimension maps onto vinaya - the formation of character, empathy, and ethical commitment through sustained educational relationship. The behavioral dimension maps onto Rajadharma - the insistence that genuine education must translate into responsible action, that knowledge without conduct is incomplete. What Chanakya adds to the UNESCO framework is philosophical depth and moral seriousness. Global Citizenship Education can sometimes feel like a collection of competencies without a compelling account of why those competencies matter at the deepest level. Chanakya's dharmic grounding provides that account: shared human destiny is not an optional aspiration for the idealistic but an obligation woven into the fabric of human interdependence itself.

3.4 Educational Equity as a Governance Imperative

One of the most important implications of Chanakya's *praja sukha* principle - the welfare of all people as the measure of legitimate governance - concerns educational equity. If good governance depends on the formation of well-educated, civically capable citizens, then educational inequality is not merely a social justice concern. It is a governance failure of the first order. A society that systematically denies quality education to significant portions of its population is not just being unfair to those individuals. It is undermining its own civic foundations and impoverishing its own collective capacity for wise governance. James Heckman's research on the long-term returns to early childhood education makes this argument in economic terms, showing that investments in quality early education for disadvantaged children have among the highest benefit-cost ratios of any public policy intervention, with returns including reduced crime, higher civic participation, and better health outcomes across the life course (Heckman, 2006). PISA research consistently shows that the highest-performing educational systems globally are distinguished not just by their top performers but by the narrowness of their equity gaps - they achieve excellence by achieving it for everyone, not by concentrating it among the privileged (OECD, 2019).

GYANBODH
An International Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal
ISSN:3048-9792
Volume: 3
Issue: 2
Special Issue: 1
May: 2026

Chanakya's framework provides philosophical grounding for these empirical arguments. Educational equity is not charity toward the disadvantaged; it is the fulfillment of the foundational obligation of governance. A ruler or a global community - that tolerates educational inequality while invoking the language of shared human destiny is betraying its most fundamental commitment.

4. A Global Nitishastra for Education: Five Core Principles

Drawing these threads together, we can sketch a Global Nitishastra for education - a framework of educational principles for shared human destiny - in five propositions.

Education must form whole persons, not just productive workers. The dominant human capital framework of contemporary educational policy - which evaluates education primarily by its contribution to economic growth - is, on both Chanakyan and research grounds, a dangerous narrowing of what education is for. Character, civic capacity, philosophical depth, and ethical agency are not optional extras; they are the architecture on which all other learning rests.

The quality of the teaching relationship is the irreducible core of education. Policy that treats teachers as interchangeable content deliverers and reduces teaching to measurable outputs is making a fundamental educational error. Investment in teacher formation, professional status, and the conditions for genuine mentoring relationships is among the highest-priority investments any society committed to good governance can make.

Civic and ethical education must be universal, not a privilege of the already advantaged. The formation required for shared human destiny- the ability to understand global interdependence, feel real solidarity with distant others, and commit to long-term collective goods - must be intentionally designed into educational systems for every child, not reserved for elite institutions.

Education must cultivate responsibility alongside rights. A civic education oriented only toward individual entitlement produces citizens who know what to claim but not what to give. Chanakya's dharmic framework insists on the priority of obligation- on the understanding that one's own flourishing is constitutively connected to the flourishing of others.

Educational institutions must be understood and protected as governance infrastructure. Universities, schools, and research institutions are not service providers in a marketplace; they are

GYANBODH
An International Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal
ISSN:3048-9792
Volume: 3
Issue: 2
Special Issue: 1
May: 2026

the epistemic and civic foundations on which all other institutions depend. Their independence and equitable accessibility are matters of governance, not just educational policy.

5. Conclusion: The Long Road from Rajadharma to a Shared Future

Chanakya's most enduring contribution to educational thought is architectural: he places education not at the periphery of political and civic life but at its structural center. Everything else - economic policy, legal institutions, diplomatic strategy - depends on the quality of the human beings who design and operate those systems. And the quality of those human beings is, in the final analysis, a function of how they were educated: what they were taught to value, how they were trained to think, what kind of people their teachers helped them become.

Contemporary educational research, across a remarkable range of methodologies and traditions, has spent decades producing evidence that confirms this ancient wisdom. Character matters. Civic formation matters. The quality of teaching relationships matters. Educational equity matters. None of these truths are new. What is new - or what should be - is our willingness to take them seriously as the foundation of a genuine commitment to shared human destiny.

That commitment begins in classrooms. It is built through the slow, patient, morally serious work of forming human beings who understand that they are responsible to each other - across streets, across borders, across generations. Chanakya called that responsibility Rajadharma. We might call it the obligation of global citizenship. The name matters less than the educational commitment it demands. And that commitment, as both Chanakya and the best of contemporary educational research make clear, is not one investment among many. It is the investment on which all others depend.

References

Kangle, R. P. (1972). *The Kautiliya Arthashastra* (3 vols.). University of Bombay.

Rangarajan, L. N. (1992). *Kautilya: The Arthashastra*. Penguin Books India.

Olivelle, Patrick (2013). *King, Governance, and Law in Ancient India*. Oxford University Press.

Dweck, Carol S. (2006). *Mindset: The New Psychology of Success*. Random House.

Hattie, John (2009). *Visible Learning: A Synthesis of Over 800 Meta-Analyses*. Routledge.

GYANBODH
An International Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal
ISSN:3048-9792
Volume: 3
Issue: 2
Special Issue: 1
May: 2026

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81–112.

Mezirow, Jack (1991). *Transformative Dimensions of Adult Learning*. Jossey-Bass.

Duckworth, A. L., et al. (2007). Grit: Perseverance and passion for long-term goals. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 92(6), 1087–1101.

Duckworth, A. L., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2005). Self-discipline outdoes IQ in predicting academic performance. *Psychological Science*, 16(12), 939–944.

Arthur, J., et al. (2015). *Character Education in UK Schools*. Jubilee Centre, University of Birmingham.

Levinson, Meira (2012). *No Citizen Left Behind*. Harvard University Press.

UNESCO (2015). *Global Citizenship Education: Topics and Learning Objectives*. UNESCO Publishing.

Heckman, James J. (2006). Skill formation and the economics of investing in disadvantaged children. *Science*, 312(5782), 1900–1902.

OECD (2019). *PISA 2018 Results: What Students Know and Can Do*. OECD Publishing.

Freire, Paulo (1970). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. Herder and Herder.

Sen, Amartya (1999). *Development as Freedom*. Oxford University Press.

Noddings, Nel (2005). *The Challenge to Care in Schools*. Teachers College Press.

Sahlberg, Pasi (2011). *Finnish Lessons*. Teachers College Press.